UCOP - LANL Overview of Bid Process 1998 Los Alamos National Laboratory Medical Plan Options



Sam Gibson

Human Resources Manager Laboratory Administration Office UCOP

Rosella Atencio-Gerst

Benefits Team Leader Human Resources LANL

Mike Baker

Staff Assistant to Deputy Director
Directorate
LANL

July 14, 1997 Los Alamos, New Mexico



The RFP process

- **♦** Time line
- Request for Proposal Process
 - Framework
 - Analysis
 - Oral Interviews and Site Visits
 - Negotiations
- Results
 - Coverage of Employees and Retirees
 - Coverage of Others



The Request for Proposal (RFP)

- ◆ UCOP staff in coordination with LANL Benefits and senior management
- Discussions began July 1996
 - Obtained specific input about community needs & concerns
 - Developed strategies for addressing the majority of these issues
- Attachment C describes some of the events during which input was received and dialogue occurred

Bid process - POS & HMO Plans for 1998



- Consolidation of community input
- Discussions with Laboratory management
- RFP issued
 - Vendor written proposals due
 - Financial analysis
 - Oral interviews
 - Site visits
 - Reference checks
 - Bid award
 - Implementation with open enrollment 11/97



General time line

- ◆ July 1996 Collection of information on issues begins, continuing throughout the process
- February 4, 1997 RFP issued to all eligible bidders
- March 5, 1997 Bidder conference
- March 21, 1997 Deadline for response from bidders to the RFP



General time line (more)

- ◆ April 1997 UC consultants (Deloitte & Touche) and UCOP Benefits Office staff analyze written responses from
 - O Blue Cross/Blue Shield New Mexico
 - O Health Systems International (QualMed)
 - O Lovelace
 - Presbyterian



General time line (more)

- May 9, 1997 UCOP Benefits Office staff, consultants, and representatives from LANL management conduct oral interviews with four bidders
- May 13 and 14 UCOP Benefits Office staff, consultants, and representatives from LANL management conduct site visits with finalists: Blue Cross/Blue Shield NM and Presbyterian
- May/July 1997 Vendors "last best offers" finalized through negotiations



Employee and community input

- ♦ UCOP Benefits Office received ~ 1000 pages of written correspondence
 - Except for a few group letters, and those with no return address, all correspondence received written replies
- Q&A sessions with employees, retirees, and community groups (including health care providers) occurred throughout the RFP process
 - UCOP provided written responses to questions
 - O Concerns and issues were "crosswalked" to the RFP (attachment A)



RFP framework

- ◆ RFP requested responses on both Point of Service (POS) and Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) and corresponding programs for retirees with Medicare
- RFP contained 367 specific questions
 - Administration
 - Claims process
 - Financial
 - Quality assurance programs
 - Network issues



The bidders

- When RFP was issued, there were five potential bidders licensed to operate in NM
- Prudential made a corporate decision to cease managed health care operations in NM
- **◆** Thus, the process was limited to only 4 bidders
- ◆ The process had to be completed in order to have open enrollment in November 1997
- Extension of Prudential contract is not an option

Bidders had to meet "minimum requirements" in RFP



- ◆ In order to be considered, minimum requirements had to be met
- Desirable attributes enhanced a bidder's proposal but would not serve to disqualify
- ◆ The four remaining NM vendors met the minimum requirements
- UCOP Benefits Office staff & consultants performed an in-depth review of all written proposals



Minimum requirements in RFP

- Minimum requirements overall
 - Minimum HMO membership of 25,000 or combined HMO & POS membership of 50,000 in NM
 - Minimum of 75 combined HMO & POS group contracts in NM
 - Minimum of 100,000 covered lives nationwide

Minimum requirements in RFP (more)



- Minimum requirements POS
 - **POS** product in NM
 - 1 NM POS client with > 2000 members for > 1 year (desirable)
 - O POS operational for at least 2 years (desirable)
 - O Network in place in Albuquerque, Española, Los Alamos and Santa Fe regions in NM and Clark County in Nevada (desirable)
 - Maintain malpractice liability of minimum of \$1,000,000 per occurrence; \$40,000,000 aggregate

Minimum requirements in RFP (more)



- **◆ Minimum requirements POS (continued)**
 - Maintain general liability coverage of minimum of \$1,000,000 per occurrence; \$25,000,000 aggregate
 - Require providers to maintain minimum malpractice liability of \$1,000,000 per occurrence; \$3,000,000 aggregate

Minimum requirements in RFP (more)



- Minimum requirements HMO
 - O HMO product in NM
 - 1 NM HMO client with > 2000 members for > 1 year (desirable)
 - **○** HMO operational for at least 2 years (desirable)
 - O Network in place in Albuquerque, Española, Los Alamos and Santa Fe regions in NM and Clark County in Nevada (desirable)
 - Same malpractice liability requirements as POS

22 HMO/Medicare Risk Scoring categories



- General
- Organizational Structure & Experience
- Communications Materials
- Implementation
- Eligibility
- Member Services
- Cobra Administration

22 HMO /Medicare Risk Scoring categories (more)



- Utilization management
 - Commercial HMO
 - Medicare risk
- Referral management
 - Commercial HMO
 - Medicare risk
- Provider network
 - Commercial HMO
 - Medicare risk

22 HMO /Medicare Risk Scoring categories (more)



- Provider reimbursement
 - Commercial HMO
 - Medicare risk
- Care management
 - Commercial HMO
 - Medicare risk
- Claim administration

22 HMO /Medicare Risk Scoring categories (more)



- **◆** Care management
 - Commercial HMO
 - Medicare risk
- Quality
 - Commercial HMO
 - Medicare risk



15 POS scoring categories

- General
- Organizational structure and experience
- Communication materials
- Implementation
- Eligibility
- Member services
- Cobra administration
- Utilization management



15 POS scoring categories (more)

- Referral management
- Provider network
- Provider reimbursement
- Care management
- Claim administration
- Quality
- Reporting
- POS premiums and financial



Oral interviews

- ◆ Since there were only 4 bidders (contrasted with the last California RFP which had 19 bidders), all 4 received oral interviews
- Participants
 - Michele French, UCOP Benefits
 - O Eileen Raney, Deloitte & Touche (consultant)
 - Mike Baker and Rosella Atencio-Gerst, LANL
 - O Jeannette Harroun, UCOP Lab. Admin. Office



Oral interviews (more)

- ◆ Standard set of questions and vendor-specific questions, as appropriate
- **◆** Approximately 1 1/2 hours per interview
- We asked for information on many issues, including
 - Customer service capability
 - Systems and claims capability
 - Care management



Oral interviews (more)

- Asked for bidders' views on health care issues in general and particularly in Los Alamos County
- ◆ Any of the bidder's written responses to the RFP which were unclear or contradictory were addressed in the oral interviews
- UCOP, the consultants, and LANL participants unanimously selected Blue Cross/ Blue Shield New Mexico and Presbyterian as finalists for site visits



Site visits

- ◆ UCOP benefits and consultants, UCOP & LANL participants went to bidder's facilities in Albuquerque, NM, for on-site review of vendors' systems and capabilities
- ◆ Consultants with special expertise in claims & utilization processes joined the group



Site visits - elements reviewed

- Claims processing, including coding input and analysis
- Data collection and management
- Eligibility processes
- Hiring and training criteria for staff
- Workflow management
- Fiscal controls
- Provider network management & ability to work with local providers
- Utilization review
- Referral management and case management
- Customer service
- Quality assurance programs



Site visits - elements reviewed

- ◆ A standard set of 190 questions were used to validate vendor strengths and weaknesses in care management and quality programs
- ◆ Additional questions developed as reviewers met with staff who would support the 1998 plan and processes



Last best offers & negotiations

- Elements included issues of
 - Performance guarantees
 - » Plan implementation
 - » Customer service
 - » Claims turnaround/accuracy
 - » Network management
 - » Employee satisfaction
 - » Coverage for out-of-state & out-of-area employees/ retirees
 - » HEDIS* measures of preventive services

^{*}Health Employer Data Information Set - a set of industry-standard performance measures relating to procedures and preventive care

Last best offers & negotiations (more)



- Pricing
- Finalists were asked for
 - Extensive additional analysis of network access
 - Options for LANL employees and retirees living inside and outside of NM
- In the interest of stability
 - Finalists asked for 2nd and 3rd year caps on medical plan rate increases on a fully-insured program

Results: Blue Cross/Blue Shield NM HMO and POS



- Effective January 1998, Blue Cross/Blue Shield New Mexico will offer LANL employees and retirees
 - Fully-insured HMO option
 - Fully-insured POS option
- Implications
 - O Plans will fall under the jurisdiction of the NM State Insurance Commission
 - \$\risk \text{will belong to vendor}



Next steps

- ◆ Between now and the November, 1997, open enrollment, LANL and BCBS will be supplying additional information on the two plans and their respective benefits
- Summary spreadsheets and booklets from BCBS are planned for distribution in mid-October



Next steps (more)

- We will provide initial set of provider directories to LANL Benefits Office & BCBS will keep them informed of updates
- ◆ Employee contributions/premiums will be published in the September/October 1997 time frame when UC employer contribution benchmarks are established



Next steps (more)

- ◆ UC will finalize the 1998 annual employer contribution level and the resulting member contributions for the POS or HMO plans by the end of September 1997
- ◆ Rates offered by BCBS-NM are based on their existing contractual arrangements with providers, including those in Los Alamos
 - Any material changes in those reimbursement agreements, either up or down, will increase or decrease the 1998 premiums for the POS and HMO plans.



Questions?

Send email to: Health@lanl.gov

Call: 667 1806

Use this URL address to access LANL HC information:

htttp://www.hr.lanl.gov/html/benefits/

Look for "1998 Health Care Information"